

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/04/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/620,523	07/20/2000	Bruce E. Novich	1596C5	2899
7	590 09/04/2002			
ANDREW C. SIMINERIO			EXAMINER	
	NDUSTRIES, INC. ctual Property Department GRAY, JILL M		ILL M	
One PPG Place Pittsburgh, PA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 11100 011 511, 1 71			1774	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Annicont(n)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Symmony		09/620,523	NOVICH ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jill M Gray	1774
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	timely filed fays will be considered timely. form the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	kaminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applic	ation No
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bose the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•	
a	The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been r	eceived.
Attachment	-	and priving and or or or or 33 1	
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10

Art Unit: 1774

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-20 and 40-47, drawn to a reinforced laminate and electronic support, classified in class 428, subclass 195+.
 - II. Claims 21 and 48, drawn to a method of making a laminate and electronic support, classified in class 156, subclass 60+.
 - III. Claims 22-39, drawn to a prepeg, classified in class 442, subclass 059+.
 - IV. Claims 49-56, drawn to a circuit board, classified in class 439, subclass 56+.
 - V. Claims 57-58, drawn to a method of making a circuit board, classified in class 156, subclass 60+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group I and Group III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a panel, mat or composite material resulting in a loss of identity of the prepeg and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record

Art Unit: 1774

that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be formed by a materially different process such as spunbonding to form a nonwoven web, impregnating with a matrix resin and partially curing to form a prepeg and laminating to form an electronic support or laminate.
- 4. Inventions of Group IV and Group V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the circuit board can be made by a materially different process, which includes forming a nonwoven web, and impregnating said web.
- 5. Inventions Group I and Group IV are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other

Art Unit: 1774

combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination can have novelty based upon the specific patterning of the electrically conductive layers. The subcombination has separate utility such as panels.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups II through V is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. In the event Group I is elected:
- 9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Polymeric inorganic particles claim 4
 - b. Non-polymeric inorganic particles claim 5
 - c. Polymeric organic particles claims 6-8
 - d. Composite particles claims 9-10.

In the event Group III is elected:

- a. Polymeric inorganic particles claim 24
- b. Non-polymeric inorganic particles claim 26
- c. Polymeric organic particles claims 27-29
- d. Composite particles claims 30-32.

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 3 and 24 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. A telephone call was made to applicants' representative by Examiner Wachtel,
Art Unit 1771 in August to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement,
but did not result in an election being made.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/620,523

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.5408 for regular communications and 703.305.3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703.308.0651.

Examiner (

jmg August 30, 2002